SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL

Licensing Sub-Committee

Meeting held 1 November 2021

PRESENT: Councillors Karen McGowan (Chair), Neale Gibson and Josie Paszek

.....

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

1.1 An apology for absence was received from Councillor Roger Davison.

2. EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS

2.1 RESOLVED: That the public and press be excluded from the meeting before discussion takes place on item 4 on the grounds that, if the public and press were present during the transaction of such business, there would be a disclosure to them of exempt information as described in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972, as amended.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

3.1 There were no declarations of interest.

4. HACKNEY CARRIAGE AND PRIVATE HIRE LICENSING - INDIVIDUAL CASES

- 4.1 The Chief Licensing Officer submitted details in respect of two cases relating to hackney carriage and private hire licensing.
- 4.2 The licence holder in Case No.90/21 did not attend the hearing and the Sub-Committee decided to proceed to consider the case in his absence.
- 4.3 The applicant in Case No.91/21 attended the hearing with a representative and an observer and the applicant and his representative both addressed the Sub-Committee.
- 4.4 RESOLVED:- That, after consideration of the information contained in the case papers and, where relevant, additional information provided at the hearing, the cases now submitted be determined as follows:-

Case No.	<u>Licence Type</u>	<u>Decision</u>
90/21	Review of a Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Driver's Licence	Suspend the licence under Section 61 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976, on the grounds that, in view of the offence now reported, the Sub-Committee does not consider the

licence holder to be a fit and proper person to hold a licence.

91/21 Application for the renewal of a Hackney

Carriage and Private
Hire Driver's Licence

Refuse to grant the licence on the grounds that information had been received from the National Crime Agency stating that the applicant was still under investigation, therefore the Sub-Committee did not consider him to be a fit and proper person to hold a licence until the matter had been resolved.